PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference APB/GRK/Y2959	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 April 2004 (01.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 April 2003 (02.04.2003)]	
International Patent Classification (IPC 7 H01B 1/12, B01D 71/68	C) or national classification and IPC		
Applicant VICTREX MANUFACTURING LIM	ITED		

		·	
1.	. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	l of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.	
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:	
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will cond, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	

	Date of issuance of this report 14 October 2005 (14.10.2005)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Nora Lindner
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 65

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 22 JUL 2004. From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 02.04.2003 01.04.2004 PCT/GB2004/001375 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01B1/12, B01D71/68 Applicant VICTREX MANUFACTURING LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. 1 ☑ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA: **European Patent Office** Marsitzky, D D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7275

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001375

_	Box I	No. I	Basis of the opinion
	the la	ıngua	d to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in age in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	l: (angu unde	r Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With nece	rega ssary	rd to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and vote to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	oe of	material:
	. [l a	sequence listing
] ta	ble(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	rmat	of material:
] in	written format
	. [] in	computer readable form
	c. tir	ne of	filing/furnishing:
] c	ontained in the international application as filed.
	0	3 fi	led together with the international application in computer readable form.
	[⊐ fı	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	· i. 🗖	has	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional les is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.
4	. Add	dition	al comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001375

Box No. I	l Priority			
. 🛛 The fo	ollowing document has	not been	furnished:	
⊠	copy of the earlier a	pplication	whose pric	ority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
				se priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
_	at the second bear	ibl	o to consid	er the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. 🗆 This		blished as	if no priori	ty had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim. Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international
3. Additiona	l observations, if nece	ssary:	•	
				the management inventive etch or
Box No.	V Reasoned states	nent und ons and e	er Rule 43. xplanation	bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement
Statement				
i. Statemen	ııı			
Novelty ((N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-20
Inventive	e step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-20
		140.	Ciairis	
Industria	l applicability (IA)		Claims Claims	1-20
		No:	Ciaiiiis	
2. Citations	s and explanations			
see sep	arate sheet			

and /or

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001375

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 277 834 (HYDRANAUTICS) 10 August 1988 (1988-08-10)

D2: EP-A-0 202 849 (ICI PLC) 26 November 1986 (1986-11-26)

D3: EP-A-0 145 305 (ICI PLC) 19 June 1985 (1985-06-19)

1. Article 33 (2) PCT:

The process for producing ion-conductive polymer compositions in D1-D3 differs from the present application by the obmission of step d) = removing > 80 % of the organic solvent. The thus obtained compositions have a water content of > 50 wtt-%. Thus the subject matter of claims 1-20 is considered to be novel.

2. Article 33 (3) PCT:

D1 is considered to represent the closest prior art since it deals with the same technical problem; the difference being the use of a solvent mixtutre with a maximum water content of 50 wt-%. The objective technical problem may be formulated as to provide stable ion-conductive polymer formulations having a reduced volatile organic solvent content (VOC). Since none of the prior art documents contains hints that stable solutions/dispersions of ion-conductive polymeric material with such a low content of VOCs can be produced using the process of claim 1, the subject matter of claims 1-20 is considered to be inventive.

3. Article 6 PCT:

The paragraph starting on p. 29, l. 16 - p. 30 , l. 19 should be erased to render the scope of protection sought for clear.